## CIHM <br> Microfiche Series (Monographs)

ICMH
Collection de microfiches (monographies)


## Technical and Bibliographic Notes / Notes techniques et bibliographiques

The Institute has attempted to obtain the best originai copy avaliabie for filming. Features of this copy which may be bibiliographicaliy unique, which may alter any of the images in the reproduction, or which may signlificantiy change the usual method of filming are checked beiow.

Coioured covers /
Couverture de couieurCovers damaged /
Couverture endommagéeCovers restored and/or laminated /
Couverture restaurée etou pellicuiéeCover title missing / Le titre de couverture manqueCoioured maps / Cartes géographiques en couleurColoured Ink (i.e. other than blue or biack) /
Encre de couieur (i.e. autre que bleue ou noire)

$\square$
Coloured piates and/or iliustrations /
Planches etou illustrations en couleurBound with other material /
Relié avec d'autres documentsOnly edition availabie /
Seule édition disponibleTight binding may cause shadows or distortion along interior margin / La reliure serrée peut causer de l'ombre ou de la distorsion le long de la marge intérieure.Blank leaves added during restorations may appear within the text. Whenever possible, these have been omitted from filming / II se peut que certaines pages blanches ajoutées lors d'une restauration apparaissent dans le texte, mais, lorsque cela était possible, ces pages n'ont pas été filmées.

L'institut a microflimé ie meiiieur exempiaire qua'ill lui a été possibie de se procurer. Les détails de cet exernpiaire qui sont peut-etre uniques du pr it de vue bibilographique, qui peuvent modifier une image reprodulte, ou qui peuvent exiger une modification dans la méthode normale de fiimage sont indiqués ci-dessous.

Coioured pages / Pages de couieur

## Pages damaged / Pages endommagées



Pages restored and/or iaminated /
Pages restaurées etoou peliiculées
Pages discoloured, stained or foxed /
Pages décoiorées, tachetées ou picuées
Pages detached / Pages détachées
Showthrough / Transparence
Quality of print varies /
Qualité inégale de limpression
Includes supplementary material /
Comprend du matériei suppiémentaire
Pages wholly or partially obscured by errata slips, tissues, etc., have been refiimed to ensure the best possible image / Les pages totalement ou partieliement obscurcies par un feuillet d'errata, une pelure, etc., ont été filmées à nouveau de façon à obtenir la meileure image possibie.
$\square$ Opposing pages with varying colouration or discolourations are filmed twice to ensure the best possible image / Les pages s'oppusant ayant des colorations variables ou des décolorations sont filmées deux fois afin d'obtenir la meilleure image possible.

Additional comments /
Copy has manuscript annotations.

Commentaires supplémentaires:

This item is filmed at the reduction ratio checked below 1
Ce document est filmé au taux do réduction indiqué ci-dessous.


The copy fllmed here has been reproduced thenks to the genercaity of:

Hamilton Public Library

The Images eppeering here are the best quellity possibie considering the condition end legibility of the originei copy end In keeping with the filming contract specifleations.

Originai copies in printed paper covers are filmed beginning with the front cover end ending on the last page with e printed or iliustrated impression, or the back cover when eppropriste. All other originei copies ere flimed beginning on the first page with e printed or lilustrated impression, end ending on the last pege with e printed or iliustreted impression.

The iast recorded freme on eech microfiche shaii contain the symbol $\rightarrow$ Imeening "CONTINUED"), or the symboi $\nabla$ (meaning "END"). whichever eppiises.

Meps, pletes, cherts. atc., moy be filmed ot different reduction retios. Those too ierge to be entireiv inciuded in one exposure are filmed beginning in the upper iaft hend corner, ioft to right end top to bottom, es meny fremes es required. The foliowing diegrems lliustrete the method:

L'exempielre filmd fut reprodult grice ite géndrositóde:

Hamilton Public Library

Les imeges suiventes ont dto reproduites avec le pius grend soin, compre tenu de le condition at de le nettetd de ioxempieire filimd. ot on conformité avec ios conditions du contret de flimage.

Les exemplaires origineux dont is couverture on pepier est imprimte sont flimbs en commençent par ie premier piet et en terminent soit per ie dernidre psge qui comporte une emprsinte d'impression ou d'illustretion, soit per ie second plat, scion lo cas. Tous ies eutres exempleires origineux sont flimds on commencent per lo premitre pege qui comporte une emprelnte dimpression ou d'iliustretion ot en ferminent per le dernidre pege qui comporte une telle empreinte.

Un des symboies suivents appereitre sur lo dernilre imege de cheque microfiche, seion le cas: ie symboie $\rightarrow$ signifio "A SUiVRE'", le symboie $V$ signifio "FiN".

Les cortes, pionches, tabieoux, etc., peuvent être filmes dos taux de réduction différents. Lorsque ie document est trop grend pour itro reproduit on un seui ciicht, ii est film' al partir de i'ongie supfriour geuche, de geuche al droite. ot de haut en bes, on pronent io nombre d'images núcessaire. Les diegrammes suivants iliustrent is muthode.


## MICROCOVY RESOLUTION TEST CMART

(ANSI and ISO TEST CHART No. 2)


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \cos \\
& \text { NOTES OIN } \\
& \text { H HEES HJSNR } \\
& \text { GREEK } \\
& \text { by } \\
& \text { H. T. F. DUCKWORTH, M.A. } \\
& \text { Tinity College, Toronto. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## NOTES ON

# GREEK HISTORY <br> by 

H. T. F. DUCKWORTH, M.A.

Trinity Col!'ge, Toronto

$$
896-1931
$$

aspl

## Notes on the Reign

of

## Darius, Son of Hystaspes.

B. C. 521-485.

## B.C.

521 Darius becomes King: finds the Empire disorganized, rebellions lireaking out in many provinces.
521-514 Wars of pacification.
519 Prophesying of Haggai and Zechariah in Jerusalem (Ezra. v. 1).
519 June Babylon recaptured after a siege lasting two years.
516 Restoration of the Temple in Jerusalem completed (Ezra. vi. 15).
Samos is added to the Persian Empire.
515-514 Second Babylonian revolt.
513 Persian dominion again extended to the Puyp: (India).

## Details of Darius' Organization of the Persian Empire.

1. Division of the Empire into satrapies.
2. Separation of civil and military authority, except in suecial cases of satrapies bordering on a dangerous enemy.
3. The government was centralized in the Sovereign,
like that of Turkey. All great officen of State were held during the King's pleamure.
4. Rundm nurd poostn commected the provincial neate of govermuent with Sunn (the nummer capital) and Bubylons (the winter enpital).
5. Toleration of lical varietien of law, religion, langmage.
6. A gold currency of great purity was issmedthe celelrated "daries", coinn of about the anme weight as a British movereign.
7. Fixed ussessminents of tribute inuposed on the matrapies, but no nystemutic arrmugementa to provide for expensen of govermment. Thip; ift "pruings for extortion-a comuon complaint in Oriental mommrehies.
8. 'The stunding army was mmall-practically $n$ military police. Very large, but also very heterogeneous armice (provincial levies en manse) were mustered whell war broke out.
9. The naval service wan performed ly Plurnicians, Egyptinns, Cyprinus, Cilicimes, and (excepting n period of nhout 100 yenrs after Mycale) Greeks of Asin Minor.
Obe. on No. 5-The Persimus more than once burned their enemies' temples (e.g. at Miletus in 494, Eretria in 490, Athens in 480) but they never attempted to suppress their religion. In dealing with the Greek cities subject to them, the Persian Kings naturally preferred to have their communities governed by despots, but they allowed other forms of government, so long as allegiance was kept. Wherever there were opposing political parties, the Persian had opportunities of maintaining his own ascendancy by playing off one party against the other.
B. 0.

Good points of the Persiun Imperial Syivem.

1. Comquered territorien were governed and mdminintered, not merely exploited "r n!mulered. The Perninn religion commem. \& leritu biny; of wante land as a daty. Commmuicanon hio. twcell varions regions was ill many ramem improved.
2. Wars of people againat people, city mgainst city, triba against tri' ; within the Eupire, were bronght to ar 1.4
3. 'The high chari. of the currency issued by the rognl mint wis a inemetit to commerce.

Defects of the Systent.

1. Too mnch deprended on the personal qumitien of the Sovereign. Mornl decline of the dynasty led to the downfall of the Enupire.
2. There was supervision of the provincial governors and officials, but it was not extembend to che point where it was most warted-viz: taxation. There being no definite allowarees for the expenses of govermment, oftieials were iempted to praetise extortion.
Ireas of unsubdued territory were left within the Fimpire-in the mountains of Asia Minor and Armenia, and even mearer to the royal residences in Susn and Persepolis.
513? Darius sends a small naval experlition to reconnoitre the constlands of Greecs. This expedition gets as far as Crotom in S. Italy.
513-512. Preparations for invasion of Elrope, to seenre the N. W. frontier of the Empire. Mandrocles, a Samian, eonstruets a pontoon-bridge, between Byzantium and the Blaek Sea end of the Bos-porus-the exact locality not $f$ rther ascertained.
3. Darius, at the head of a large army, crosses the Bosporus, and marches over the Balkans to the Danube. A fleet of 600 sail proceeds to the mouth of the Danube, enters the stream, and accends to the head of the Delta, a waiting the arrival of the army. Darius crosses the Danube. According to Ctesias, the Persian arny penetrated 15 days' march beyond the river, and lost 80,000 men before it regained the Danube.
Revolt of Greek Cities in the Propontic region(i. e. on the shores of the Hellespont, Propontis, and Bosporus), which had received news of a disaster to the Persian arms in Scythia.
Darius returns to Asia by way of Sestos in the Thracian Chersonese, the Byzantines having destroyed the bridge on the Bosporus.
512,511 and early months of 510 . Darius remains in Sardis.
4. Megabazus subdues Perinthus and the Aegean coastland of Thrace. Byzantiun and other Greek cities still defy the King.
Histiaus begins to fortify Myrcinus (on the Strymon ) a place granted him by Darius. Myrcinus was air important point, (1) because it comanded the crossing of the Strymon, (2) because it was the gate of a trade-route connecting the $\mathbf{N}$. coasts of the Aegean with central Europe, (3) because it lay near the gold-mines of Mt. Pangæus.
5. Megabazus becomes suspicious of Histieus and reports his proceedings to the King. Histiæus is summoned to Sardis and bidden to accompany the King to Susa.

## 7

B.C.

Artaphernes, the King's brother, remains at Sardis as satrap of Lydia Otanes quells the insurrection of the Greek cities in the Propontic region, and captures the islands of Lemnos and Imbros.
Arrival of Hippias (dethroned despot of Athens) at Sigeum in the Troad; probably in the late autumn

Net results of military operations, R.C. 512-510.
(1) Submission-nominal rather than real-of the Thracian tribes inland between the Sca of Marmora and the Danube.
(2) Occupation of sevcral places along the southern coast of Thrace, between the Chersonese and the Strymon.
(3) The King of Macedon had recognized the superior power and authority of the King of Persia. The land-route to Greece had thus been sccured by actual conquest as far as the Strymon, and by Macedonian submission as far as Mt. Olympus.
510? Persian dominions in Africa extended westwards to Euhespcrida (Bengazi).
507. Athenian envoys at Sardis, seeking Persian protection from Spartan aggression.

Events in Greece, 510-500.
510 Expulsion of Hippias and the rest of the Pisistratidæ. Return of the Alemæonide.
508-507 Archonship of Isagoras. Cleisthcnes proposes constitutional reforms (Botsford, pp. 80.84 ). Faction-fighting in Athens: Isagoras procures the intervention of Sparta in the oligarchic interest. Cleomenes (King of Sparta) sends a herald, lidding the Athenians expel "the ac-
B.C.

8
cursed " (i.e. the Alcmaonidæ, because of the murder of Cylon. Botsford, pp. 45-46.), whereupon Cleisthenes and his partisans withdraw. Cleomenes enters Athens, seizes the Acropolis, and banishes 700 Athenian families hostile to Isagoras. The Athenians blockade Cleomenes in the Aeropolis, and he is forced to eapitulate after 2 days, having no food. He is allowed to retire, taking Isagoras with him. Return of Cleisthenes and the rest of those who had withdrawn or been banished. Cleisthenes' programme of reform is carried out.
507. The Athenian embassy at Sardis. Artaphernes, Satrap of Lydia, demands tokens of submission (earth and water). The envoys agree, but their aetion is repudiated by the people when they return to Athens.
506. Cleomenes attempts to avenge his defeat, but fails (Botsford, p. 84) being opposed by his colleague Demaratus and by the Corinthians. The Athenians defeat the Thebans and the Chaleid ians in battle and annex a large piece of Chaleidian territory (Chaleis, in Euhora : see Botsford, p. 84 and map opposite p. 127.).
505. War breaks out between Athens and Aegina.

504? Sparta proposes to her allies the restoration of Hippias, but they refuse their support. Hippias begins to ineite the Pereians against Athens. A seeond Athenian embassy at Sardis, to establish peaeeful relations between the Persian monarehy and Athens. Artaphernes refuses peate exeept on eondition of Hippias' restoration, whieh the Athenians cannot agree to. with$s$ the milies ckade orced 1. He him. those Cleis-
t.
rnes,
niss-
but
hen
ails
gue
B.C.

500-493
500. Civil strife in Naxos; the aristocracy expetled by the people. The exiles seek the aid of Artaphernes through Aristagoras, vicegerent for Histicus in Miletus. Aristagoras persuades Artaphernes to help the Naxian exiles. Naxos, he points out, will thus fall within the range of Persian power and serve as an advanced post for movement on Greece. (N-Artaphernes had already demanded that Hippias should be restored to Athens-not for Hippias' sake only, but in order that the Persian king might have a dependent and agent on the mainland of Grecee). Artaphemes promises to muster a fleet of 200 sail.
499. (Summer) A Persian army, carried in an Ionian fleet, lands in Naxos and besieges the city, but the islanders, warned beforelaand of their danger by Aristagoras (not by Megabates, the Persian commander), are ready for the siege. After four months' fruitless effort, the siege is raised.
( • utumn). The antumn finds the Ionian fleet still "in being" at Myus on the Mieander, though the land-forces have been disembarked and disbanded. Aristagoras has gained his object. A revolt nay be set going, with a large fleet ready to operate along the cosst.
The outbreak of rebellion is signalized by the arrest of several Greek despots, who are faithful to Persia, on board the flect and the deposition of others in their cities.
(winter) Aristagoras visits Sparta and Athens, petitioning for assistance. No help is given by Sparta-probably because a war with Argos
is imminent. Athens promises to send ships and fighting-men the following spring. (apring) Twenty Athenian and five Eretrian vessels cross the Aegean to Miletus, and there Join an Ionian force which proceeds to Ephesus.
(Summer) The allies march upon Sardis, and capture the lower city, to which they set fire, but fail to take the citadel. They are compelled to abandon Sardis by the approach of a strong relieving army, and retreat to Ephesus, where they embark, but not without having to fight a "rear-guard action" in which they suffer some loss.
The Ionian fleet defeats a Pluenician fleet off the coast of Panphylia (S. coast of Asia Minor.). The Greek cities in Cyprus revolt.
(autumn) Byzantium and the Propontic Greek cities join the revolt.
(winter) Athens (probably because of danger threatening from Aegina) refuses to send any more help to the Ionians
Artaphernes forms his plan of campaign for next year. Three arnies to be nustered for the reduction of (1) the Propontic region, (2) Aeolis, (3) Ionia.
497. (spring). Reduction of the revolted cities along the Hellespont and Propontis begins. (summer). Caria joins the insurgents, but the Dorian cities on the Carian coast maintain their allegiance to Persia-at any rate give no help. A Persian army lands in Cyprus. Near Salamis (E. coast of Cyprus) a doable enconter takes place-the Ionians against the Phrenicians by sea, the Cyprians against the Persians by land. By sea the insurgents are victorious, Ionian fleet leaves Cyprus to its fate.
(autumn) Persian victory on the river Marsyas in Caria. End of the revolt in Cyprus.
(winter) Aristagoras betakes himself to Thrace, intending to occupy Myrcinus, and is killed in battle with the Thracians.
496. (summer) Another Dersian victory in Caria, at Labranda.
Histireus obtains leave to come down to Iunia, and finds himself suspected by Artaphernes of being an accomplice of the insurgents.
Great Persian defeat in Caria, near Mylasa.
495. Histiæus escapes from Sardis and visits various centres of the rebellion-Miletus, Cinios, Mytilene.
Both sides appear to refrain from active warfare this year, having fought each other to a standstill for the time being.
The Persian presumably set themselves to make up for heavy losses in Caria and the destruction (or at least crippling) of their fleet off Salamis (Cyprus). A new fleet under construction in the herbours of Phenicia, Cilicia, Cyprus: and Egypt. There may also have been attempts at bribery and corruption on both sides, instead of fighting.
494. (spring) The Persian preparations complete. The land-forces converge on Miletus, and the new fleet blockades $t l$ ace by sen.
(summer) Battle of adê. 600 Phenician and ships against 353 Greek. Many Greek vessels desert. Complete Persian victory.
(autumn) Capture and sack of Miletus (Botsford p. 114).
B.c.

## Histious at Byzantium.

(winter) Persian forces rest, after sanguinary exploits of summer. Settlement of the Milesian captives at Aupe near the mouth of the 'ligris. (spring) Phrynichus' drama. "The Capture of Miletus" (Botsford, p. 115). Histieus is killed while raiding Atarneus in Acolis (spring and summer) Cruise of the Phorician flect in the Hellespont and Propontis, capturing and buang rebel cities-Perinthus, Selymbrin, Byantimn, Chalcedon, Proconnesus, and others. Flight of Miltiades from the Chersonese, which he had ruled as despot for at lenst 20 yeurs.
Pacification of Ionia and Aeolis by Artaphernes (Botsford, pp. 114-115.) Each city assessed for tribute accorling to the extent of its territory. Artaphernes' assessments were still in force sixty years later-i.e. in the case of those Greek eities of Asia which had not joined or been compelled to join, the Athenian League.

Mardonius in Thraee and Macedonia.
492. Mardonius leads an army over the Hellaspont inwo Europe, and marches along the const of Thrace, the fleet adrarleing simmltaneously by sea aud keeping abreast of him. Conquest of Thasos (gold-mines). Mardonins subdues the Brygi or Bryges, but his ffeet is wrecked in a stom while rounding Mume Athos (Monte Santo). As the land-army depenfed to a great extent on the fleet for supplies of food, this disaster compelled Mardonius to turn back-otherwise, he wonld have pressed on into Thessuly. However Thrace and Macedonia had been recover-
B.C.
ed for Darius, and the way to Greece was open at least as far as the 'Thessalian border. Moreover, 'Thasos with its gold-mines had been added to the Persim Empire.
491. The dinaster off Mt. Athos suggests to Darius the alvisability of following a different method in attacking Greece. The plan of coöperation between an army and a lleet advancing round the N. Aegean was liable to be upset by the storus which not minferuently arise in those waters. The direct route neross the Aegean is therefore adopted. Furthermore, the new experlition is in appererance directed only against Athens and Eretria as an act of vengennce for the burning of Sardis in 498, an outrage in which Athenians and Eretrians had taken part. But there is also an ulterior purpose, viz.: to establish " "bridge-head" on the European side of the Aegean Sea, to facilitate subsequent onslanglits on Hellenic independence.
Despatel of heralds- to varions cities in Greece and the Islands demanding the tokens of submission (earth and witer); object-to detach as many an possible from the defence, before the attack should take phace. (The same device employed by Nerxes, 10 yuars later, before he invaded Greece).
Aegim offers to submit to the Persian king, out of enmity to Athens. Athens lays complaint at Sparta against Aegina. King Cleomenes compels Aegina to to give hostages as security of loyal helaviour. The hostages are sent to Athens.

The Expedition of Datis and Artighernes. (spring and oummer). The Persian army destined to attempt the conquest of Attica rusters at Susa, and marches to the Aleian plain in Ci'icia. There it embarks and is conveyed by sea to Samos. From Samos the armada turns westward. The city of Naxos is attacked, taken, and burnt down. (Naxos had defeated the Persians in 499). On the other hand, Datis respects the sanctuary at Delos.
Other islands also are visited, and contingents from them join the Armada. The army lands at Carystos (S. end of Eubcea), which is compelled to submit by the devastation of its territory.
Siege is laid to Eretria. The town holds out for 6 days, but then is delivered by traitors into the hand of the cnemy. The teinples are burnt, and the population made slaves.
A few days later, the Persia army is earried aeross from Eubrea to Attica, and lands at Marathon, this point being seleeted on the advice of Hippias, the ex-tyrant of Athens, who aeeompanies the expedition in the hope of beirg restored to his dominion.

Notes on the Persian Armada.

1. Dutis, who was a Median, probably collected from Media, Persia, and other Eastern reginns of the Empire, the troops which came down from Susa to the Aleïan plain. He is always mentioned before Artaphernes, and therefore one coneludes that he was the senior comander.
2. Artaphernes, soll of Artaphernes (Satrap of Lydia) and nephew of Darius, probably had eharge of the embareation at the Aleian Plain.
B. C.
3. Hippias would naturally join the expedition at Sanios.
4. Size of the Armada. Cornelius Nepos (Miltiades, ch. 4-5) asserts that Darius raised a force of 500 slips, 200,000 infantry. and 10,000 cavalry, which he sent under command of Datis and Artaphernes to conquer Greece. In the batile, 100,000 Persian infrantry and 10,000 cavalry were drawn up in array to meet the Athenians and Platwans (whose numbers are estinated at 10,000 ). According to Pompeius Trogus, there were 600,000 Persians against 11,000 Greeks. Plato (Menexenus) repeats a story of 500,000 Persians and 300 ships of war, besides transports. The Greek orators of the 4th century speak in terms of cloudy exaggeration of the "myriads" defested by the Atheuians. Herodotus says that the Persian army was " numerous and well equipped," and that there were 600 ships of war, and horsetransports besides.
The 600 ships, he says, were ordered in the previous year from the maritime communities subject to Darius.
The number 600 is large, but not impossible. It may be the ordinary naval levy of the Empire. Compare the 600 ships supporting Darius' invasion of Europe, and the 600 ships in the Persian fleet at Ladê in 494.
But 600 even of the largest vessels then in use could not have carried more than 60,000 soldiers in addition to their crews. Besides, the immediate object of the experition, was only the conquest o: Eretria and Athens, though as a step to further conquests.

It is not likely that the land forces commanded by Datis and Artaphernes mumbered more than 40,000 . And of this number, not more than huelf can have engrged the Athenians in the Inttle.

Note on Maruthon.
The Plain of Maration, where the battle was fougl., is ont the E. const of Afrien, aixout 24 miles by rond from At'rens, in a N.E. direction. It oxtends in leugth from N.E. to S.W. for about 4! miles: in breadth, from the surrounding hills to the sea-line, $1!$ to 2 miles. At either all there is a marsh. The marsh on the $\mathbf{N}$., which is the hurger, lenves between itself nud the sen a long strin of ground where in ail probability the Persians drew their ships on shore and encamped. In this position they could only be attacked at the W. end of their camp. The plain, now-r-days, is much overgrown with vines, and therefore dangerous to riders. If it was imilarly overgrown in 490 B.C. the Persians would linve been unable to use their eavalry, but if it was open their cavalry conuld easily have held in check any hostile foree attempting to advance from the hills towards the shore. As a matter of fact, the Persinu cavalry took no part in the bnttle. The Greeks minder Miltiades were enenmped at the Heraeleion, up among the hills, nearly 6 miles (by rond) from the Persian position, It was to their advantage to engage the Persians in a hand-to-hand fight, in which the superiority of Greek weapons and armour could tell. They were safe cnough so long as they held their position, but if they had retreated, they would
have been puraned and overtaken ly the more lightly enpipped Persians, and perhaps nurromeded ly a howt plying them with arrown and. darts. The Persian army wan mell more dangerons to run away from than to clowe with. Herolotus speaks of the Athenimus nud Plateman charging on the Persiation for a distance of nearly a mile "at a rim" --running a mile in heavy armour and then tighting! This is aphysical iapowsibility. The Athenianm may lave menameed at an musial speed, bit they cen only have started to rim when close up to the enemy.
'The lay of Marathon was selected an the landingplace hecanse (for one rensmi) the presence of the invaders there would maturally draw out a considerable forec: from Atheas to watel them. 'lins an inly fant Athenian force would be kept away at a distance of 24 miles from Athens. Meanwhile, the friends of Persia within the walls could matnere their design for delivering the city into the hand of the alien. As soon ns everything was realy, the signal would be given. Datis would leave about half his army at Marathon to detain the Athenian army facing it, white he took the rese round by sea, to land at Phaleron and then take possession of Athens. The "pro-Persian" party in the city was led by the Alcmaeonide, though they must have known that Hippias was with the Persiams. Perhaps they lad arranged matters with Hippias. Their object was to break the power of the aristocratic party, which was led by Miltiades. As for Hippias, many of the poorer sort might remember that

## B. $\mathbf{C l}^{2}$

18
under the "tyrmits" the " econmin if folk " were more fortumate, on the wholo, than umher tho rigime of a mobility. Chisthemes' reformes hat mot yout thoroughly "demoerntizel" tha shote. Tho Peminna, however, rumed their own arheous by burning the telnplas in birotrin. The sumbe of the burning lmildinges groing up to henvern, wan plamly visible froms the Attire whores of the Finriphes, and the fate of Eiontria made the mase of the lemoseratic party dis. trustfil of l'erxian menal finith. They anw themmelves marked down for shaughter and shavery, anm rallied for thes defonce of the eity muder arintocratic himlerm.

## Events after tho Persi:en leenling ut Jherethon.

The lmaling may le dated (poovinionally) alsont the first week in September; H.C. 4!0).
As somi as news of the handing reached Athens, an arony of 9000 men, commanded by Callimachas (having Miltiales as ande of his statl), was despmeled to the Heracleion, bend Marathom, to wateh the insulers. A messenger was almo sent to Sprorta, to ask for help. 'The Spurtans maswered that they wonld come uns soon as they had kept the festival of the fill moon (prolably the full moon of their great month Carmeios).
The Pemsians waited day after day for the signal which shonld tell them that all was remly within the walls for their appearance withont, but no sigmal came.
They conld aot wait inlefinitely, as they knew that the Athenimas must be gettinerg veinforcements, which would arrive in a short tine.
B. $C$.

At lant they decirled to inove on their own account.
A atrong force was Irnwn if, on the whore to eaver the embarention of the main body intended for fanding at lhaleron. This movement was of course reported to Callimachus by hin meouts. The wholearmy at the Heracleion, therefore ( 0000 Athenians, 1000 Plateanis) advanced from the hills into the plain, to engago the Pemian force which covered ti... embareation.
At this moment, probably, emne the shield-signal from the hills, meaning that all was realy for the Persians in Athens. The battle was stablomily contentesl. In the end, the Athenians deatroyed the troops opposod to thein, but they were mable to stop the cmbareation and departure of the rest.
The shield-signai had warned the Athenians that treachery was still at work. They therefore marched back to Athers with all tho speed they could. Ponsibly they were back by the evening of the day of the lattle. The Persian ieet, which had 00 miles to go (round Attica) and was loaded with troops, homes, mad captives from Eretria, can hardly have made Phalermin before midhight, and even if they put in close to shore, they were well mivised in not attempting to land. It was full moon at the time, and their movements would have been seen. Morning came, and with it, one infers, the npparition of the Athenima any in the Plain of Phaleron. The game was up. Datis gave the signal for retreat, and went back to Asia, laving nccomplished less than half the work appointed him by the king.

Losses in the Battle of Marathon-Persians, 6400 : Athenians, 192 (according to Herodotus).
The troops promised by Sparta arrived at Athens about 24 hours after the battle had been fought, and had to be content with a view of the Persian dead.

## Results of Marathon.

1. A strong taint of suspicion clung to the Alcmaonide, though the aceusation of treachery could never be brought home to them.
2. Miltiades, on whose adviee Callimachus had acted (in holding on at the Heraeleion), and who had devised the taeties employed in the battle (putting the strength of the attack on the wings), became the foremost man in Athens, for the time being, and his party (eonservative and aristocratic) profited by this as long as his aseendaney lasted. The unlucky Paros expedition, however (Botsford, pp. 122123), left Miltiades at the merey of his political adversaries. They made unsparing use of their opportmity.
3. The reputation of Athens rose high. The Athenians could claim the honour of being the first Greeks who had defeated a Persian army in a pitched battle by land. At the same time, the actual vietors of Marathom must have been aware : at the Persian foree destroyed at Marathon was not "an exeeeding great anny," and Miltiades (if no one else) would know well atough that the Great King's design of conquering Hellas had only suffered a temporary check.
4. Thebes was already hostile both to Athens and to Platea. Marathon would add fuel to the
flame of hatred, for Thebes had played the part of Mcroz, while the men of Athens and Platea "jcoparded thrir lives unto the death upon the high places of the field."
490 or 489. Settlement of Eretrian captives at Ardericea, a royal domain in Cissin (modern Khuzistan, the region cast of the lowest reaches of the Tigris).
[For similar instances of "transplantation," see II Kings, xv. 2!, srii. 6 and 24, xxiv. 14 and 16, xxv. 11, and the instance of the Milesian captives removed to Ampe. Darius also caused the Preonians (on the Strymon) to be removed to Phrygria, but they contrived to return at the time of the outbreak of ti.s Ionian Revolt. Large bodies of captives carried away by the Persians from Antioch in A.D. 540 and from Jerusalem in A.D. 614].
Note on the Shield-signal at Marathon.
The Persian fleet, when it left Marathon, set its course for Cape Simimm, the intention being to round the promontory, cone up the west const of Attica to Phaleron, the port of Athens, and effect a landing there before the Athemian army could return.
5. Herolotus represents this move as taking place after the battle.
6. He also say: that rumour charged the Alcmeonidee with having suggested it to the Persians, "for it was said that they, in accordance with a preconcerted arrangenent, sigualled with a shield to the Persians as soon as they (i.e. the Persians) were on loard ship." That a signal was given by means of holding up a shield on
some elevated place (to act as a heliograph Herodotus does not deny. But he will not allow that the Alcmæonidæ were guilty. He points out that the Alcmæonidre were "haters of tyrants," and therefore could not, have desired to put their country under the feet of the Persians and of Hippias. It was by the Alcmreonide that the deliveranee of Athens from the house of Hippias had been bronght about. With regard to 1.
Herodotus himself states that the battle lasted a long time.
It is not likely that it began before daylight, whieh at that time of the year would be between 5 and 6 a.m.
The engagement may be assumed to fon been a
"full morning's work." Let it $l_{1}$ pposed, however, that it began soon after sturise, i.e., about 6 a.mi, and went on till 10 a.m.
At 10 a.m., then, the Persian armada left Marathon.
Herodotus sayss that it stopped at an islet in the Enripus, to piek up the Eretrian eaptives.
It was a heavily-laden fleet, and could not have travelled at a high speed.
The distanee by sea from Marathon to Phaleron is about 90 miles. To eover this distanee, as mueh as 18 hours might be taken by a fleet loaded up with troops, horses, captives, ete., as the Persian fleet was. Eighteen hours from 10 a.in. would be 4 a.min. on the day following. The distanee by land from Marathon to Athens is about 24 miles. The Athenians could have rested for some hours after the battle and have marched back to the city by
midnight. They would have had the moon to light them when night fell.
The Persian commanders could hardly have been unaware of all these things, and the conclusion is that if the Persian fleet did not leave Marathon till after the battle, no attempt to effect a surprise-landing at Phaleron would have been made. The fleet would have gone back to Asia withorit further delay. However, the following points may be taken as assured:
(1) A signal wats given to th:e Persians by the display, on some height visible from Marathon Bay, of a polished shield which reflected the sun's ray's. The sun must have been well.up, then, when the signal was given.
(2) The shied-heliography was followed by the departne of the fleet, and the embareation had already begrun when it was given.
(3) The Persians did attempt a smprise at Phateron, but came too late.
The Persians would not have attempted a surprise at all, unless they had started at an hour which at least brought a surprise at Phaleron within the range of possibility:
They must therefore have begron to embark in the small hours of the moruing. Part of their force, probibly, had never been encanped on shore-this would be especially likely in the case of the horsemen.
As soon as the morning light was strong enough, the Athenian seout., would be able to see and report the movement in the Persian eamp. The report would bring the Athemians out, in the hope at least of crippling the invaders
B.C.
before they could get clear of the land. Th shield-heliograph may have been seen by them as they marched down from the Heracleion to the plain.
When they got down to the plain, the Athenians found a Persian force drawn up across their path, to cover the embarcation. This force they had to attuck.
They were victorious, but the battle went on for a considerable time, and when at last it was over, the main body (at least) of the Persian armada had got clear away.
The Eretrian eaptives left in the islet Aegilia would be picked up by a detaehed squadron, whilst The the rest passed on, making for Sunium.
main: orly of the fleet may have got away as early as 7 a.m., and by not stopping at Aegilia there might be hope of getting into Phaleron bay by midnight. There they hoped to find their friends ready to assist the landing, and aecompany them into the city. As for the Athenian army at Marathon, the hope of Datis and Artaphernes would be that the foree they had left behind would make an end of it or at any rate infliet such a blow as would leave it powerless to take any further part in the conHict. They cannot have left Marathon in the knowledge that the covering army had been eut to pieees by the Athenians.
Callimachus and his army would be back in Athens by midnight. The Persian armada would then be not far off Phaleron. Probably they expeeted fire-signals, but seeing none, waited for the morning. Then they approached the shore, and saw indubitable signs of the return
d. The y them leion to
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of the Athenian army from Marathon, and the utter defeat of their plan.
With regard to 2.
The Alcmeonida had bribed the oracle at Delphi into worrying Lacedamon to subvert the throne of Hippias. But their quarrel with Hippias: would mean that they hated him, not for being a tyrant, but beeause his father had broken their pewer over the community and driven them into exile. One of the clan had aecepted, without scruple, the gold of an Oriental, i.e. n barbarian, king, even Crossus, who completed the sulbugation of the Asiatie Greeks to the power of Lydia. Cleisthenes in B.C. 508-507 "took the people into partnership." But that was because the aristoeraey supported Isayoras-and the fall of Isagoras may be aseribed to the harshmess he displayed when he was eseorted into the eity by Cleomenes mad a Spartan army. At any rate, it need not be supposed that the Alemaeonide served the people "for nonght" cither then or at any other time. They became the leading democratic fanily because they could not become the leading aristocratic family.
The refo "ms carried by C!eisthenes did not destroy the influenee of ancestry and hand-ownership. Under Pisistratns and Hippias, the common folk had not been badly off. There was no fiseal oppression-nothing like the Eupatrid domination, to alleviate whieh Solon had resorted to the desperate measure of a general eancellingt of all debte. After the departure of Hippias, Athens had to fight, first Thebes and Chalcis, and then Aegina. Thebes and Chaleis
were defeated, but Aegina gave a great dea trouble. There was evidently a deterini effort made by the democratic faction in 4 after the unlucky experlition to Ephesius a Sardis, which resulted in adrocates of neutr ity and peace, and even frieudship) with Pers getting the direction of affiairs into their hand Phrynichus' tragedy, the "Fall of Miletus produeed in 493,
a theatrical eensure the neutral Persophile policy, and therefore $h$ was fined. Miltiades, returning from th Chersonese in 49:, belonged to a family whiel had been disliked by Pisistratus and Hippias He was, whimsically enough, made to stand his trial on the eharge of having been a tyrant in the Chersonese. The churge was true enongh, but Miltiades' petty monarehy in the Chersonese was mo concem of the Athenians'. On that occasion the famous story was inrented relating how Miltiades wanted to break down the Dambe bridge and let Darins perish in the Scythian widderness. That the Alemaeonidar were among the adversaries of Miltiades might be inferred from the faet that Xinthippus, who proseeuted him after the Parian fiaseo, was commected with that fanily by mamiage, his wife being niece to Cloisthenes the reformer: Miltiades was aequitted on the charge of tyrany. His presenee in Athens no doubt hepped the Eupatride or arivtocracs. But it was a permanent souree of clangror to the whole community. Miltiades, in the cyes of Darius, was an escaped mutincer. So long as he remained in Athens, there wonld be no hope of arranging eompensation for the burning of
cat deal of letermined on in 498, hesus and f nentral. th Persia, sir hands. Miletus," insure of efore he oll the $y$ which Hippias. 0 stand tyrant is true in the nians'. vented down in the onida might ppus, iasco, iange, mer. my. the a hole ins, he of of

Sardis. That vengeance would be sought for that insult, the more discerning among the Athenians could hardly doubt. There remained one chance-and that was to make up the quarrel with Hippias. If the Alcmneonidm helped Hippias to come back, would he let thelli stay in Athens? Hippias might rule as Darius' vassal. That was no great matter. Persian suzerainty might not press very henvily on a sulject community west of the Aegean. And even if it did, it would press on all alike. In any case, the aristocratic faction would once more be overthrown.
Thus, as we understand the history, reading between lines, the Alcmeonide conspired to put Hippias once more in possession of Athens, and accept the yoke of allegiance to the Persian king. It was the successful issue of their co-operation that Datis and Artaphernes waited for at Marathon.
But the plot was defeated by Datis and Artaphernes themselves. The burning of Eretria scured the vast majority of the disaffected into loyalty. The Alcmeenide would find themselves oljects of suspicion. Whether they really had their scheme for the surrender of the Acropolis and the city complete by the time they gave the shield-signal to the Persians, or, tinding themselves constantly watched, tried the fortune of precipitating the Persian advance upon Athens, one cannot tell for certain. The Alcmæonide themselves would net be likely to leave any "docmuents" which would incriminate them, but only from sneh documents could posterity have learned all the facts.

489 or 488. The expedition to Paros. Herodotus lowed by morlern historiana, viz., Botsf p. 123) asserts that Miltindes persuaded Athenians to entrust him with the comma of a fleet of 70 sail, without making kno the object of the expedition. This is impro able to the last degree-even more improbin than the statement that Cleomenes assembl the forces of the Peloponnesian League witho declaring the purpose for which they were take the field. (Butsford, p. 84). The Come of 500 , if not the whole people, must hnv known what Miltiades intended to do with th flect in commund of whith he desired to b placed.

The court in which Miltiades was tried and condemmed was prohably the Ecelesia or General Assembly of the Citizens, as in the case of the commanders bronght to trial after the battle of Arginasse ( $p$. 235). If not the whole Eeelesia, it would be a jury selected from the Heliaea (p. 54). It is fairly evident that there must have been a considerable revilsion of feeling with regurl to Miltiades, many who were previously supporters becoming his adversaries. The treatment Miltiades met with reffects diseredit upon the Athenians. To suppose that they did not know whither the expedition was hound is impossible and absurd. His political opponents, of course, rejoiced over his failure, and made use of it to influme against him those who had hitherto been well-disposed on account of the great part he had phyed in the vietory at Marathon. That Xanthippus and his partisans
dotus (folBotaford, uaded the command ng known $s$ improb. nprobable essembled e without were to - Conncil ist linve with the d to be
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were no successful shows that grent expectations of profit had rested on the expedition to Paros. But in this history the Athenians played Ahab to the Parim Nuboth. Miltimes hatd to be their sconpe-gront. If indeed the covetous aggression upon Paros was of his mvising and suggesting, he perhaps deserved his fate, but the Atheninn people had their full metanure of guilt, forasmuch as they hearkened unto evil eomnsel and entered upon a way that wus not goxkl.
(M:. Botsford-p. 12:-heing ant American, is bomel to assumb the fimetion of advocutus populi, which in this case is very much the same as celcocutus dieboli.)
487. Egypt revolts. This disturbance interrupts the preparations which Darins is making for another invision of Cirece.
485. Denth of Darius. Aecession of Xerxes.

489-485. For Athenian prolitics between the year of Narathon and the time of Darius' death, see Botsford, p . 12:3-124. At the top of p. 124, it would probably be safer to read "aristocrats" for "republicans." The "victor of Marathon" was the ideal of the conservative mud aristocratic party in Athens, two generations later; in the days of Aristophanes, and held up to admiration in contrast to the democratic type. Thus the condemmation of Miltiades would not be "mother gain," but compensation for the fainine of the attempt to get him condemned on a charge of tyranny (see p. 117).
Ostracism (p. 83) has been generally regarded as the invention of Cleisthenes, but it was
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apparently not made use of for nome 20 ye ufter he carried through him informs. firat recorded instnnce is the ontracism Hipparchus, $n$ descendanc of Pisistmtns, 488. If Hippins lind not accompanied $t$ Persiann to Marathon, Hippareluns might han been nllowed to remnin in Athens. Othe kinsmen or friemly of Hippins were ostracire in 487 and 486 , the institution leing so fa employed solely against sueh as had bee adherents of the despots. Among these "friends of the tyments," the Aristetelin treatise "On the" Constitution of Athens reekons Megaeles, son of Hippocratew, an Alcmesid. Set this ngainst the engerness of Herolotns to clear the Alemenmide from the imputation of treacherous intrigne with Hippias and the Persians in B.C. 490. In 485, Xanthippus, the enemy of Miltiades, was ostracized. The ostracism of Xanthippus was perhaps the result of a rocompuest of influence ard power by the party of whieh Miltiades had once been the leader. The fact, that there is no reconded instance of ostracism corlier than 48 x , though Cleistheness reforms had been initiated twenty years before, suggests that possibly the institution was not his device, but originated only a short time hefore it was aetunlly utilized. By that time Cleisthenes in all probability had been gathered to his fathers, for he was at least 60 when he begain his work of reform. The original motive of those who instituted ostracism is uneertain. It may have been fenr nud suspicion of surviving supporters of the tyranny,
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or it may have heen denigned simply as a means of heading off the danger to be expected from the "pmonotentia" of any individunl in thr citizen-community.
According to the Aristotelian treatise above referred to. Sulon enosed cho areloons to bes taken by drawing lots ( $\kappa \lambda$ ippooss), out of $n$ nomber of persons previomsly elected (aipatoi). Cleisthenes rnbestitutend election for drawing lots (aïpeos for кגיjpasos) in the second stage of the: process. In and after $\mathbf{4} \boldsymbol{8}$, the areloons wore uguin upminted by the combination of clections and sortilere. The statement with regard to the your $4 \times 8$ and subsequent years may the acepepted withont reserve. It is doultful, thongh, whether the change male in 487 was really a retion to mu older practice or an immonation. One point, however, many be tukerl as certain, viz: that Callimatios, the Pokemarchos in 4 !) (the year of Marathon) was chosin, clected (aipeteis) out of a number provionsly chosen and clected (irpóxpoton, aipetai) by the people in their tribes.
Additional note upon the chanyes made by Cleisthenes.
In place of the + Ifuic Tribes (which were not lacal divisions) and the 48 "Naterarie" (which werr local divisions) Cleisthenes extablished 10 Attic Tribes, in ench of which there were 3 gromps called $T$ ritiyes, and in each Trittys or "riding" there was a varying number of Demi or "townships." This system of tribes, ridings mud townships, included the whole citizen-population of Attica.
The tribes were mamed after lieroes and fanous men of Attica ㄷ. follows: A hundred names were
B. C.
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collecterl, and sulmitted to the orncle lelphi, which picked out ten.

The alivisiom uf ach trilse into three ridingen y connecterl with the geogronphical dintributi
 the $x, 1$ connst," (2) I'raliwi-"prople of $t$ Hhans" (xuch as the 'Thrisian flain), and ( Dieverii-. "prople of the hoightes," "hig handers." the inhabituntes of the hillm. Fane tribe ham a "trittye" or ridling in ench of th regions (sen-entst, phains, hills) into whic

Fach "trittys" of riding consisted of townshif" The tawnships in inch "trittes" lay tongetlies lant no two "trittyen" or ridings of any unt tribe were in, juxtapersition.

Every tribe was thas represented in ench of the matural (geographical) divisions of Attica, and evely rogion of Attion wins romesented in enell trile, by a constent number of ridings and a eroying number of townships.

The number of townships (demi, "demen") under Cleisthenes is not known. Herodotns, who expressen himself olaneurely, in understoml to say that it was 100 , viz.: 10 to each tribe. There were 174 alout B.C. 200, and from varions nourcen (historians, olators and inscoiptions) 182 nameen in all have beon collected. It might be said that if there were 174 in B.C. 200, when Attica was not at the height of prosperity, there might well be as many in B.C. 500, when the cominty was certainly not in a state of " depression."
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Numes of 4 Ionic Tribee.

1. Aigikareim, 2. Argalein, 3. (ialeontes, 4. HopIrtes.
By the time of Horomotios, and probalily long lefore. the real origin of theme momes had heroll utterly forgotten. One nttempt to exphin thenn was the fuble that they wore dreived from the numes of the fonr soms of Iom, the meentor of the Ionien Chroks. Their ronl meaning is

 aulbinafollo af humautarilum
Nitmer of the 10 Altic Tribes.
2. Eirechtheis, 2. Aegeis, is. Panlionis, 4. Janontis, i). Acammatis, 6. Orymis, 7. (ecoropis, X. Hip)pothontis, 8. Aean 10. Antioclyfs. $/ \circ^{\circ}$
Notes on the Athenian Empire and the Periclean Policy.

3. The Comferlemtion of lhelos. Sier Butsforl, lep. 14i, 151-2.
Note (1) The loader:hip was vohutarily offired to Athens.
(2) The Athenims accepted the offer, Int not without ulterion virws. From the leginning, they meant to thin the allimee into wimenire.
(3) The Conferlemtion was inginnlly Iomim, but might include non-Ionians.
(4) The Atheninns assmmed the function of deciding which anong the allies should contribute money, and which should give ships (and their crews) for the prosecution of a war of revenge upon the Persians.
(5) This war of revenge was the ostensit "raison d'être" of the Confederation.
(6) The Hellenotamice or Receivers of Tribut were from the first, $\Lambda$ thenian officials.
(7) All the confederate states $w$ ne theoreticall "antonomons," bat thos: which raiti ?one instead of furnishing armamenty beam ipso fucto "clients" or depende ts o
(8) The first assessmonts of tribute, anomuting in all to 460 talents (about $\$ 555,000$ ), are generally ascribed to Aristides.
(9) The temple of Apollo in Delos was the first treasury of the Confederation, and the place
(10) Where synods of deputies were held. prods could not be convened frequently probably not more than one or two met during the whole time that the Confederation lasted-and inseriptions show that from the year 454 B.C. onwards the treasmry was no longer in Delos, but in the Parthenon at $\Lambda$ thens.
4. Conversion of the League or Confederation of Delos into the Atheniun Empire. The canses of the change were (1) the superiority of Athens both in energy and resonrees, in the will and the means to rule, over every other nember of the league, (2) the readiness of a number of the allies to commute active service for money-pr. ents. The Athemians displayed their determination to rule (1) in their treatment of states which attempted to secede-these were degraded to tho rank of tributaries, if previonsly among the states which provided armaments, (2) by their cueroachments upon the territory and the liberties of allies who
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were already in the position of tributaries, putting garrisons in their cities, approprinting portions of their land for "cleruchies" (i.e., Athenim settlements which "were colonies in the Roman rather than the Greek mamer of colonization-see Botsford, pp. 84, 170, 27J) and usinpping appellate juriseliction for the popmlar supreme eonnt in Athens (pp. 169, 170, 193). Thus Athens made use of the "hegemonia" or leadership ia a mamer never contemplated by the states which had offered it to her. The change, however, was inevitable, and after all it was not withont its advantages (see p. 170).
3. The P'oliey of Pericles.

Perieles was the ruling spirit in Ithens for over thirty years-13.C. 460-42!. In his administation of public aftians, during the years whon he was agrain and again elected strotegos or "genemal" (p. 177), firt but leading prineiples may be discerned.
(1) The payment of ritizens for spreice to the state, and more partirulurly the puyment of the jurors $i n$ the luw-courts.
Remmeration for state-services probably began soon after the reform of the eomstitution by Cleisthenes. One-tenth of thr Commeil of 500 , i.e., the 50 members chosen by one of the 10 tribes had to be on duty contimuously in Athens for one-tenth of the vear. Citizens of the lower classes in the Solonian censms comld not give so monch time withont some comprosation, and we find that the members of the Comeil had their meals at the public expense while in Athens. In commection with the revolution of B.C. 411 , it is stated that the comeillors received payment for attendance, over and above their meals. No
tradition, however, conneets this extra paymer with Perieles' name. Remmeration for militar service may be traeel as far baek as 480 , whe the Areopagns eneouraged the populace to migrat to Salamis by promising to pay the men fo service aboand ship agriast Xerxes. In the course of the next 20 or 25 years the Athenian soldiers and sailors must have reeeived sone sor of rewneds for their services, either in payments from the treasury, or in shares of spoil, or both. Pericles systematized a practice already known. Phitareh says that Pericles kept a fleet of 60 sail at sea for 8 months every year. and therely provided a large number of Athenians, not only with maritime experience and traning, but with subsistence as well. The payment of the incliaste or jurymen was introduced by Perieles himself. The pay was small, aid had no attraction for the energetic and ambitions. The eonsequenee was that the interpretation of the laws (p. 17t) the deeision of eases involving ruin, banishment, or death, fell to courts eonsi. ${ }^{-1}$. mainly of the aged, the inifirm, and the in... . There were 6,000 of these jurymen (p. 17 , Their pay was about 8 eents per diem, which would go a long

 be eredited with a Periehes, however, ought to thought that (a) as many e me. He probably ought to be interested in the administration of the law; and (b) that the best way to seeme this lay through giving the heliaste an ineontive to take an aetive part in that administration. It
e was
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[^0]of the allies was not strictly honest. Tha money was, in theory at least, levied for the con'mon defence of Athens and her allies, by sea and by land, agranst the "Barbarian" (i.e., the Persian) or any other enemy. The treasury had been removed from Delos to Athens on the Wea that it wonid le safer there. But the manner in which the Athenians used the funds there accumalated made that ploa justly suspected. Pericles' opponents in Athens charged him with improper use of the longrae funds. His reply was that Athens provided support and protection for her allies, and that so long as this Was done, no complaint could be made. This howerer, was a mere crasion. It was nomenthe treasing of the leagure, filled with contributions relentlessly hevied num the deperdent allies, that Pericless drew, mot only for building the Parthenon and other groat architectmal works, but also for a mumber of other purposes, sneh as the payment of wolliers and sailons, the payment of insemal-polier at the Pirens, the pmyment of juros mui so forth. Parieles madre Athens the womder of the world, lat the rapacious finance by wheh this result was attanied demoralized the Athenians, alienated their allies, ami prepared the downfall of their empires.
(3) The formution of "cleruchies" or Athenian settleThese settlements werr fombled for either or both of two pmposes, (a) strengtheming the control exercised by the mling city over her allies, (b) Athens.
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The type of these settlements is found in the occupation of Salamis and of a large portion of Chalcidian territory, in times prior te the Persian War (see Botsforl, pp. 50 and 84), and that of Scyros i.، 469.
In the conrse of some 25 years before the Peloponnesian War, Athenian settlements (so many outposts of Athenim sovereignty-like the Ronam colonies in Italy, etc.), were planted in the following places:
(i) The Thracian Chersonese- 1,000 settlers.
(ii) Eulsea- 1,000 settlers.
(iii) Naxos- 500 settlers.
(iv) Audros- 250 settlers.
(v) Brea (in Thrace)- $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ settlers.
(vi) Lemmos.
(vii) Imbros.
(viii) Sinope-600 settlers.
(:-) Amisos (Samsmi on the Black Sen).
(.) Astacos (at the head of the Gulf of Ismid-Sea of Marmora).
(xi) Hestie: (N. end of Eubria)-2,000 settlers.*
Colonies of the regular Greek type (p. 39) were fommed at Thmii (S. Italy) in B. ? 443 , and Amphipolis (on the Strymon) in B.C. 437. Neither of these commmities retained any strong sentiment of loyalty towards its metropolis,

[^1]though from the first they were on the footing of politieal equality. But Amphipolis neceded is B.C. 424, and Thurii gave but little assistance a the time of the Sicilian Expedition (B.C. 415. 413).
4. Peace with the Persian King anul preparation for a stougyle with Lacerlomon. As sonn as the Acgean had 'reen remlered virtually inaceessible to the Great King's movies, and his power over the maritime cities broken, the object for which the lengue of belos had been formed hat been attained, and the only disedrible reason for keeping the league in existronce was that Athens might profit by its means. By the time when Pericles came definitely to the front, the conversion of the Conferleration into the Athenian Empire was a feit cerompli. It only remmined to secure the permanence of this result. This was done by removing the treasury to Athens and by establishing garrisons and settlements in various localities -principally along the route by which the grainships went and eame from the whent-eonntry lying along the morthrin shove of the Black Sea. For the impending struggle with Lacedienon, Pericles prepared by his system of state payments. The majority of the citizens had a very distinct, tangible interest in the maintenanee of Athenian sovereignty over a maltitude of islands and consts in and around the Aegean, for that sovereignty meant power to levy contributions, wherely so many citizens were individually profited. Even in restricting the franchise to men of Athenian origin on the mother's as well as the father's side, Pericles was secking the sime end. By reducing the number of those who had full citizen-rights he enhaneed the
prestige and value of those rights, and by requiring Athenian parentage on both sides he presented pure Athenian descent as a circumstance conferring of itself distinction and superiority: By adorning the city with master-pieces of architecture and senlptare, making it " $a$ thing of beanty" and " $a$ joy for ever," he ronsed afresh the fire of Athenian patriotism. He sought to make the Athenians proud of themselves and their city, and proud in such wise as to be willing to fight to the last for their privileged and ming re position among the cities of Hellas.
For architecture and senlpture in Athens nader Pericles, see lootsford, pl. 179-185. Note also the 'potation from Pericles' famous Feral Oration, oIl p. 18 万.
6. The impending shïgdemitc Lace: ancon.
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September: Lysmuder captures the Athenian fleet at A.gospotanii (Hotsforil, pp. 235-236). September--Nocember: Lysander entablishies Spartan garrisons and oligarchic governments in Byzantim, Chalcedon, Mytilene, Thasos, ind other plies which had been in alliance with Athens. November: 'The Peloponnesian forces under Pausanias and Lysander besiege Athens and the Preens.
Sh - 404. April. The Athenians surrender, under pressure The woe of famine. Hard conditions of peace (p. 237). thor ard (arastummer). Intimidated by the presence of Joy. sander and Lacedamonian armed forces, naval and military, the Athenian assembly agrees to the institution of a Council of 30 for the ostensible purpose of drawing up a code of laws and carrying on the administration of the state mail that task shall have been finished. This Commit, consisting of fence anti-democrates, and many of them mewly-peturned exiles, became known afterwards as the 'Thirty Tyrants. Prominent among them were Critics (a disciple of Socrates and uncle of Plato) and Therrmenes.
404-403. Summer of .40.t to Spring of 403 . The Reign of Terror in Athens (see pp. 252-253). Theremenes, endeavouring to cheek the bloodthirsty madness of Critias, hims! f fulls a victim. (coly spring). Athenian exiles gather at Phyle on Mt. Paries, under the leadership of Thrasy-
(spring). Thrasybulus captures the Pirens. The oligarchs attack him there and are defeated, Critias meeting his death in the battle. Most of the 30 "Tyrants" withdraw to Eleusis, leaving a council of 10 in their place. The democrats in the limus receive large supplies of money from Lysias the orator, mad from friends in Blis and burotia. Envogs from the 30 at Elensis and the 10 in Athens proceed to Sparta. Sysander procures for the oligarehs a lonin of 100 talents, and his own appointment as commander of an amy to mareh npon Athens. llis brothrr Libys is sent to cooperate by sea. Blockale of the Pirous, and conserfient distress of 'Ilmasybulas and his followers.
Meanwhile suspicions of I asimuler mate themselves folt in sparta. Ilv latd mande himself too great and eomspicmons. 'The kings and the ephoms Were quite in the shatle. King Pansanias accordingly got himself appointed, by the support of 3 out of the : iphors. to supersede Jysamder in command of the campaign in Attica. Having called ont the forees of the Delopennesian Leagne (the smmons was distegarded by Thebes and Corinth) lie marehed into Attica and took wel the ehief command. Some fighting took place between Pausnias, troops and those of Thiseybulns, ending in a victory for the former: Patusmias then sent secret messatges to encomage the democrats both in the eity amd in the Pireus. An ammetice was concluderl, and the settlement of the situation referred to Lacedemon. The Spartan authorities sent 15 commissioners to pacify
B.C.

Athens. and it was at length settled that all parties should keep the pence, that all property ahould be returned to lawful owners, whether demecrats or oligarehs, and that there miould be a gelleral ammesty, the 30 and the 10 hovernors of the Pirans established hy Lymander leing excepted.
Summer. Euclides, first "archon eponymos" since the year of Aegrownotami. Important events of Buclide's' archomshif, (403-402)-(1) Revision. of the laws ordered: this work tork at least two vans to necomplish-(2) Intronduction moto aificrial use of the Ionic alphabet of 24 letters instead of the old Attic alphalet of 18 -(3) Hestrietion of Athenian franchise to persons of Athemian parentage on both sides (i.e., Pericles' law of 451 re-cmacted).
$\boldsymbol{N}$. 'The return of the oligarchical exiles had been followed ly a Reign of 'Tarror-1500 persons are satid to hase beeth put to death in eight months. The demoeratic Restoration was followed by wo such sernes. In every way, the dencerates showed themselves better men than their opponents, who arrogiantly called themselves "the lest," "the moble and good," and so forth. No doult some, at least, among the oligurchical party might have been unjnstly sent into exila-hut nothing had taken platee to warrant the atrocities perpertrated muder the misme of Critins and his associater. On the other hand, the democrats might have been exelused for taking a full meanme of vengeance mpon the fiection which had laid the honour of Athens in the dinst. Both in 411 and in 40:3, the Athemian democracy justitied itself by
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that all roperty whether whould the 10
snuder
ce the intw of visiou. t least netion of 24
of 18
se to sides
beell wons ight fol the thin clilmad the tly ale the the
moxleration. In 403, the democrate undertook to repuy spartu thr 100 talinits which hud been lent, nt Lysmuler's instigntion, to the olignrehs, though they were unler no obligntion to nswnue this debt. Bevidently they thonght 100 taldints not tow much to pry fion the privilege of kereping up the credit of the Atheninus Stute.
some credit, at the same time, is due, in connection with the restoration of the demererey int Athens, to King Pamsanian mull the Lacerhormomun commissioners. It may be said that their prime motive was dislike for Lasmaler. Bint they did well to dislike him, for there was only one person tw whou Lysmulde was ever logal, mul that was himself. His trentment of Athens had been atrocions, muld all his proceedings since Aegospotmin had bronght his comutry into disrepute. Pansamian mad his assuciates deserve some measure of applause for briuging ubout the pacitication of Atherns. It was at any rute muttempt to relress the evil wrought by Losmader.
The "yeme of matechy" as the Athenians justly called the twelve-month beximing in July, 404 (inasmuch as there was min) "archoneponymos" for it in their meorls), was a year of civil war. From that ordeal the demoeracy emergel with homomr. "Civil wats strike deepest of all into the mamers of the people. They vitinte their polities: they corrupt their morals; they prevent even the mitural tuste and relish of equity mul justice." (Burke: Letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol). As for the sequel, it nust be admitted that Athens in the
B.C.

40
tth century wins imneh lese considemble than Athons in the stin, yet even mo it wns the lent-governacel, most refinerl and enlightened, most limmane commanity in the Hellenic world. It was still "the selhos) of Helhas." The rapielity of growth and developmont, however, in the "hovoice age" whieh leegan with the reforms of Cleisthenes und renched ite height in the chas of Perieles, issmed in premature exhanstion. Thre refinement of the Atheninn type was manatained. but it never regnimel the vigonr which distingnished it in its inperinl days.
402-401. Lesamder wets himself went with a fleat to the Hellespont, bit after a while is recalled in conserphence of comphants mate ly Pharualazans, watiap of the Hallospontine regron, who Was an ally of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{pan}}$ ata.
401-400. Sparta chastises the Elemes by rabling mal devastating thair teritory, as a warning to these of here allies (exp. Thebes and Corinth) who were disatlieterl on accoment of Spartan cowremmancse in appropriating all the spoils and protits of the victory over Athens.
401-400. Cyrus, satmap of levelin. matrehes with an army into babylonia, with intent to dethrone his brother Artaxerxas. Xenophon and the Ten

399. Trial and denth of Socrates. (Ser M. $22: 2-22(6)$. Reasons why this prophet was without hononn in his own comati?:
(1) He was a shampritie of demonncy, though he never affected the manners of an aristocrat or oligarch.
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B.C.
(2) He mule many permonal encmiom by expowing theil ignomme-an mblisement in which he werns to have bren exeresaively melf-indulgent.
(3) The finet that such men as Alcibibeles and Crition lamd berou among his disciples was ellongh to stir un smpicion that he was a "corvinter of yonth."
(t) Ho wins a dentronetive eritic bather than a
 fonord (and not withont reasomi) that his disintergonting methomls of entuine unt umalysis wonld twe npplied with fatal eflecet to long(established primeiples of religion and murality:
Xenophonis " Mrmairs" eretainly resene suemtes from the implitation of being a mere "spphist " or twister of meriments, whose ull nill and ohjeet was, as Holm puls it. "liffickt zu machom." But Gucrates certainly contrived to make himself griveromsly misumerevternl, mid to a gronat extent this was his uwn fanlt. He wina alotemions, it seroms, withont laing cleanly. H: was 110 alvosate either of oligatchy or of despetism- Pet he cemsmed ilemocerney. He frepmented the temples and altars of the forls, and yet was suspected of seceretly deriding them. In his unkempt aserticism he ressmbled the pridests of Zabis at Dodomand the "philusephers" of the Cynic sehool, whase tradition was maintained in Christendom by the hermits and pillam-saints, mal reve be the cormbites. In his destenctive criticism, le is not unlike the Tolstoim anarehist of the present day. Among the Donkhobors he might, if brought back to earth, feel himself quite at case.
399. Death of Agis. Accession of Agesilaus, younger brother of Agis, through an intrig!e of Lysander, who caused doubt trs fiall upon the legitimacy of Leotychides, $\because$ hat hat been heirapparent to Agis.
Agesilaus was forty years of age when he became king. Little or nothing had beell heard of him before. In the course of the next 38 years he was one of the most notable men in Greece. (A striking exception to Ir. Osler's law. Another is Julius Cessar).
Position of Affuirs in Sparte in 399.

1. The power of the kings was limited by (a) the ephors, (b) the mavarchus or "Lord High Admiral." Ephors aceompanied the kings v.hen they trok the field (e.g., two ephors with Pansanias at Athens in 403) and the navarchus was practically a third king. The Spartan State was an oligarehy, with the ephors as its executive. Between the kings and the ephors there was constant opposition. Agesilaus, however, "cultivated" the ephors, and thereby greatly added to his own power:
2. Spartiate or Spartans properly so called, were a divited household. There was disaffection between the Homoioi or "Peeers" who retained the full framehise, being able to contribute their quota to the Syssitia or public tables, and the Hypomeiones or "Inferions" who had lost the full franchise throngh imability to contribute to the Syssitia. The Homoioi were the lens mumerons of the two parties. Since the year 412, a great deal of money, far more than the Spartans were accustomed to, had found its way into Sparta-mainly from Persian
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B.C.
sources, and through the agency of Lysander. Result, a general rise in prices. Moreover, individual Spartans had enriched themselves abroad (Lysander an honourable exception), and this brought about inequalities between Spartan and Spartan. Laconia had not suffered much, if at all, in the war, but military operations tended to reduce the populationSpartiatie as well as others-rather faster than the birth-rate could keep it up. The change in prices had operated disastrously on many fortunes, resulting in impoverishment, inability to maintain contributions to the Syssitia, and consequent loss of franchise. Citizen-rights in full, then, were now held only by a comparatively few wealthy or well-to-do, while a number of impoverislied men, in all other respects the equals of their more fortumate or more covetous compatiots, were disfranchised and discontented.* Matters were not improved by adınitting Periceci and Helots who had distinguished themselves under Brasidas and other commanders, into the class of Hypomeiones or "Inferiors," for this only increased the sumbers of the $H_{y p}$ pomeiones and emphasized their political inferiority to the Homoioi or minority of "Peers."
3. "Spartan simplieity" was falling into disrepute anong the ruling Homoioi. Agesilaus set a good example of living up to the institutions of Lycurgus. But a good many Spartiates seem to have circumvented the requirements of those institutions. They took their black broth in

[^2]public, but feasted sumptuously in huuses of their own. Avarice was inflamed by the sudden influx of silver and gold into a community where money of any kind had always been scarce, and this passion seized not only the men, but the women also-and women were a great power in Sparta.
4. There was the long-standing mutual dislike between Spartan and Helot. The Helot hated the Spartan. The Spartan affected to despise the Helot, but inwardly feared him, and organized a special police (the Crypteia) to watch and secretly make away with any Helots who seemed likely to become dangerous. In the year of Agesilaus' accession, one of these seeret police-agents, named Cinadon, who belonged to the elass of Hypomeiones, turned against his employers, and used his knowledge and experience to evade all surveillance while he formed a great conspiracy of disaffected and diseontented Spartans, Periceci and Helots. But in his turn Cinadon was treacherously dealt with. Information was given to the ephors (though it was asserted that the gods had already given warning in the entrails of victims) and Cinadon was arrested. The names of his chief associates were extorted from him, and they were all put to death.
Cinadon's arrest brought to light the disaffection of the Hypomeiones. To disaffeetion among Periceci and Helots the ephors were alrendy aceustomed, but general disaffection among Spartiates was a new thing. The governing authorities felt that a "politique d'éblouissement," in other words, warfare abroad, must
be the cemedy for the uneasy and dangerous state of the internal affairs of Lacedæmon.
399. The dangers revealed by Cinadon's conspiracy, therefore, were onc cause iupelling the Spartan goverument to a "brilliant foreign policy." Others were-1. Ill-feeling on tho part of the Persian King towards Sparta, in cousequence of the friendship which had existed between Sparta and Cyrus. Spartan soldiers had taken their share in Cyrus' expedition, which was designed to dethrone Artaxerxes, the reigning monarch. 2. The proccedings of Tissaphernes, satrup of Lydia, against the Greek cities of Asic. He was beginning to "enslave " them -i.e., re-establish Persian sovereignty over then. They appealed for aid to Sparta. By intervening in their defence, Sparta might regain some of the reputation lost in consequence of the tyrannous practices of the harmosts and decarchies. 3. The disc , ure of the inner weckiness of the Persian Empire by the escape of Xenophon and the Ten Thousand. A hundred years earlier, no Spartan commander would have thought of attenpting to march up from the coast to Susa. Such an enterprise seemed quite feasible to Agesilaus.
In 399, then, Thimbron was despatched to Asia, and captured some places in Lydia. His achievements, however, did not satisfy the cohors, and he was recalled, Dercyllidas being sint out in his place. Dercyllidas made a truce with Tissaphernes, while he attacked the province of Pharnabazus, satrap of Dascylium or the Hellespontine region (N. W. Asia Minor).

## B. $C$

Note the want of co-operation between the two satraps. They were mutually jealous, and the king exercised no control over their private animosities.*
398. Dercyllidas builds a wall across the neck of the Thracian Chersonese, to keep out the inland barbarians. $\dagger$.
397. Dercyllidas takes Atarneus, opposite Lesbos.
396. Pharnabazus and Tissaphernes combine at last against Dercyllidas, who is obliged to make a truce.
The Persian King grants Pharnabazus 500 talents for the equipment of a fleet in the harbours of Phenicia, to be put under command of Conon, who was then in Cyprus, whither he Hed from Aegospotami in 405.
Agesilaus, on the news of the Persian preparations reaching Sparta, proposes an invasion of Asia, with himself in command. An army of 8,000 ( 2,000 Lacedæmonians and 6,000 allies) is assigned to him. Athens, Corinth, and Thebes refused for various reasons to take part in the enterprise.
Agesilaus was accompanied by Lysander, who hoped to restore the deearchies as instruments of his own power and influenee.
The Spartan king thonght of nothing less than the overthrow of the Persian Empire.
Agesilaus proceeds ly the way of Aulis (where the Thebans prevent him from offering sacrifice) to

[^3]Ephesus.* After landíng in Asia, Agesilaus finds himself eclipsed, in the esteem of the Ionians, by Lysander. Disagreeable incidents end in the despatch oi Lysander to the Hellespont. Tissaphernes breaks truce, and Agesilaus begins military operations, giving first attention to the province of Pharnabazus, which he plunders.
395. Agesilaus devastates Lydia and defeats a Persian force near Sardis.
'Tissaphernes having shown himself .incompetent and cowardly, Artaxerxes sends Tithraustes to supersede him. Arrest and decapitation of Tissaphernes at Colossm.
Tithraustes makes a truce with Agesilaus for 6 months, pending discussion at Sparta of the satrap's offer to grant autonomy to the Greek cites on condition of payment of fixed tribute to the Persian king. He also gives Agesilaus 30 talents, snbsidizing him for an attack upon Pharnabazus.
Agesilans (anthorized by the ephors) musters a fleet of 120 sail and puts Pisander in command.
Invasion of N. W. Asia Minor by Agesitaus. The Lacedemonian army penetrates as far as Puphlagonia (Eusine coast). Agesilaus winters in Pharnabazus' palace at Dascylium. Conference between Agesilaus and Pharnabazus, who upbraids Lacedemon for ill-treatment of a faithfinl ally-a very just reproof. Agesilaus undertakes to evacuate Pharnabazus' province.

[^4]The Lacedæmonian army withdraws into Myaia. There Agesitaus receives a message of recall, Sparta being in danger.
Naval events, B.C. 395. Conon advancing along the S. coast of Asia with 40 ships, meets a Lacedremonian fleet of 120 , which blockades him at Caunus Reinforcements come. to Conon, and the enemy retires to Rhodes, but is forced to withdraw by a democratic insurrection. Conon makes Rhodes his headquarters and captures a corn-fleet bound from Egypt for the Peloponnosus. Trouble in Conon's fleet in consequence of jealousies between officers and mutinous spirit among the men, whose pay was in arrear. Conon proceeds to Susa, and obtains the appointinent of Pharnabazus as his colleague in command of the fleet. This a"rangement proved successful, as it put Greeks under Conon's command, Orientals under that of the satrap.
394. Conon defeats Pisander off Cnidus. Death of Pisander. End of Lacedemonian mastery of the sea.
396-395. The situation in Greece. Sparta in great disfavour; the tyramous decarchies and the devastation of Elis had made the Lacedæmonian name hateful. Thebes and Corinth were openly disaffected and indeed hostile, especially the former: The Thebans had (1) assisted Thrasybulus and the exiled democrats against the Thirty (2) had refused contingents to the expedition of Agesilaus, and (3) had forcibly prevented Agesilaus from sacriticing, like Agamemnon, at Aulis. They were also irritated by Lacedæmonian intervention in Thessalian
affairs, and the occupation of Heraclea Trachinia near Thermopyle.
Tithraustes sends 50 talents by the hand of Timocrates, a Rhodian, to subsidize Argos, Corinth and Thebes against Lacedamon.
395. The Beeotian War, beginniug with Theban intervention in a dispute between Phocis and the Locri Opuntii. Plocis appeals to Lacedæmon, and with success.
The Lacedzmonian plan of caupaign-Lysander to operate from Heraclea Trachinia; Pausanias to. enter Berotia from th? south, and join hands with Lysander at Huliartus (between Mt. Helicon and Lake Copaïs). Lysander, arriving first, attacks Haliartus and is killed in the fight. Pausanias arrives next day and holds a council of war. A truce is made; Peusanias agrees to retreat at once. Being acerused, on his return, of having betrayed the honour of Sparta, he withdraws into voluntary exile at Tegea.
Formation of an Anti-Lacedamonian LeagueThebes, Athens, Corinth, Argos, Eubrea, Acarnanin, Ambracia, Leucas, the Malians, the Locrians, and most of 'Thessaly. Headquarters of the league at Corinth. [Recall of Agesilaus about this time].
The Corinthien Wer. The Theban Ismenias captures Heraclea Trachinia.
394. Council of Wur at Corinth. The allies decide to march on Sparta, but are anticipated by aristodenus (guardian of Agesipolis, son of Pausauias) advaucing towards Corinth.
Buttle before Corinth: Spartan victory, but with no great after-effects.

Agesilaus meanwhile advancing by land from the Hellespont.
Battle of Cnilus : death of Pisander-news reaches Agesilaus at Cheronea. General expulsion of Spartan officers and garrisons from the citien and islands of the Aegean. The Greek cities of Asia submit to Plarmabazus on condition of heing left free in their internal affairs. Only Sestos and Abydos (Hellespont) continue to hold out for Sparta.
Battle of Coronea. Agesilaus, advancing through Beotia, encounters an army of Borotians, Athenians, Argives and Corinthians. Victory remains with Agesilaus, but the honours of the day with the Thebaus, who, having become separated from their allies, cut their way through the whole Lacedæmonian army to rejoin them.
Agesilaus dedicates 100 talents ( $\frac{1}{10}$ of his Asiatic spoils) at Delphi.
393. Conon and Pharnabazus with their naval armament ravage the coast-lands of Laconia and Messenia. Cythera occupied by an Athenian garrison. The satrap visits the allied head-. guarters at Corinth, leaves a subsidy, and returns to Asia. Conon proceeds to Athens with 80 ships.
Rebuilding of the Long Walls connecting the Pircuus with Athens.
392. Dissensions in Corinth-a peace-party (oligarchs) against a war-party (democrats), Massacre of oligarchs by democrats. Close alliance, tantamomut to amalgamation, of Corinth with Argos. The oligarchs admit the Spartans within the walls connecting Corinth with its
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western harbour, Lecheoum, and enable the Spartans to enter the territory north of the - Isthmus.
391. Agesilhus and Teleutias capture Lechwum and the Corinthian magazines at Pirebun. The Athenian commander Iphicrates and his peltasteo* cut to pieces a Spartan reginent (mora) of 600 men. Peace negotiations just opened are then broken off: Agesilaus returns to Sparta. Devastation of Acarnania by a Lacedzemonian army under Agesilaus.
390. Acarnania, dreading another invasion, enters the Lacedæmonian League.
Agesipolis, colleague of Agesilans, ravages the territory of Argos.

## The Peace of Antalcidas.

392. As Persian subsidies had encouraged Thebes, Argos, and Corinth to make ready for wor with Sparta, furnished the armada which under Conon and Pharnahazns made an end of Spartan lordship over the Aegean, given the Corinthians a fleet, and enabled the Athenians to rebuild their Long Walls, Sparta thought it time to regain access to Persian liberality for herself. She had learned, while in alliance with Cyrus (B.C. 407-401) how nseful Persian gold might be when placed at her disposal, and the events of the last two years had shown how formidable a power it was when put at the disposal of her enemies. Mission of Antalcidas to Sardis. Tiribazns, the satrap, a new

[^5]B. $0^{\circ}$
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arrival, was eapily influenced by Antalcidas, a man of craft like Lynander. Antalcidas proposed that the Greek citien in Asia should be made over to the Persian King, on condition that all the islands and the other citien of Greece mhould be left entirely independeut and self-governing.
Thus Sparta "weut back upon" her champiouship of Greek libertien in Asia, ansumed in 396.
Meaning of Antalcidan' proponals regarding Greece itself :

1. The Peloponnesus. Sparta's allien in this region (and elsewhere, e.g.; Acarnmia) were independent in nume; Sparta wonld wee to it that the name remained a name only.
2. Aryos. 'I'he Argives would be compelled to diswolve their alliance or federation with Corintll.
3. Thebes. The Thebans would have to give up their suzerainty over other Burotian cities.
4. Athens. The Athenians would have to give up all hope of regaining a maritime empire and might even lose Lemmos, Imbrow, and Scyrow.
5. Counter-embassies from Argos, Athens, Corintli and Tlebes, at Sardis. Arrest and disappearance of Conorr.
Tiribazus refers the Spartan offer to Artaxerxes.
6. Recall of Tiribazus. Fighting between Lacedæmoman troops and those of Strouthas (Tirihazus successor) in Ionia-Lacellemonian defeat.
7. Thrasybulus wins over Byzantium and Chalcedon to alliance with Athens, and cruises along the
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8. 

coast of Asia to Aspendus, where he is asmassinated.
388. Defeat of a Lacedamonian force near Abydos by Iphicmites-death of the Spartan governor of Abydos.
Surprise-nttack on the Pirmens by Telentias.
387. Second Minsion of Antelcides. 'lirilsazus in favour agrin, Artaxerxes having come romnd to the opinion that it was more profitable to holp than to oppose Lacehemon. Moreover, there were revolts in Cyprus and Egypt, and the King wanted to le free of the Greek distractions. Athens was assisting biagoras against the Persians in Cypros. Antalcidas therefore had no difficulty in gretting the king's consent to his proposals. All that now rematined was to induce Athens to " stand in." 'Jhis was accomplished bey dint of bribery, intimidation, and the promise: that if Athems wonld recall her forces from Cypros, she womld be left in possession of Lemmos, Inbros and Scyros.
Antakedas now cane down liomn Susa to the Helleypont and collecterl a llect of 80 mail, thas giving Lacehtmon once more some commmad of the sea.

Causes disposing the Greeks to peace:

1. Sparte was tired of the frintless Corinthian War. The terms proposed ly Antalcidas meant the break-up of hostile confederations and alliances.
2. Athens was in diffirnlty with an exhansted treasury, and the intemution of grain-supplies from the Euxine by Antaleidas, Whose fleet commanded the Propontic waters. Pirates
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from Aegina were also a great plague.* Firther, Athens athod to gain Leminos, Itubros, and Scyrox lyy the pence.
3. Angw had sulfiered greatly from repeated devantation of her territory by Lacedemonian armies.
4. Corinth had been in a state of siege for eight years.
5. Thebes. There was not much to make the Thebsuns puncefully disposeel, but they would not carc to stand out mhome when their allies were really to muke peace.
Tiribazas now convened representatives of the (ireek cities at siurdis.
Proclamation of the Presian King aronel pleasure to the (ireek envers:
" King Artaxerxes thinks it jont that the cities in Asia shombl bething th him, and, among the islands, Cla\%menene+ and Cypros: But that all the other Hellomie itiow, small and great, shond he left imberment, except Lemmon, Lublros, and seprows: and that these, as of old, shond lumbeng to the Athenimes. And upon those states which do not aceept this peace I

[^6]8.c.
mywelf will make war, in conjunction with those who assent to these terme, hoth by land mud by mea, with ships and with money."

With these terms to amounce, the envoys retmrued to to their cition, whence they land come forth. The termes were necepted everywhere withont opposition, except at 'Thelnes, nud the diflienlty in thre case of Thel年s was simply that her anthonitios chamed tho right to tuke the wath to, wherve the prace in the mane, not of Thebes only, lout of' all Brantia. 'Ihis claim, however, was disullowed. Symurta threatened war, and Thebes "backed down."

Notice the following points:

1. Each of the "high contrauting parties" (viz.: Persia and Lateddemon) had rellered great service to the other, white sreking coly its own interests. The enslavement of the (ireek to the Persian in Asia was the price paid for the enslavement of Greek to Greek in Europe.
2. The Persian King was ellabled, by the action of Lacediemon, to prose as the recognized sitzerain of all Hellas. Thomgh Tiribazas, he ordered Greek mioys to come and ben on what terms they "rht have peace. They came, they listened to the reading of the royal mandate, and then !leparterl, over-iwed by the antereat's mimacer.
3. The first article of the Peace, almodoning all the Greek cities in Asia to the Persimn King, destroyed the resnlts achieved and maintained by the Detian Leagne. The Greek cities i: Asia were thrown back to the condition they 1 : in before the battle of Mycale.
4. The second article really invested the Spartans with the right to break up any confederation or alliance they inight not approve of.
5. The third article reflected diseredit on Athens. She abandoned Evagoras, the champion of Greek liberty in Cyprus, in order to be assured in the possession of three islands, forgetting that Cypirus had for ten years sheltered Conon, the man who shattered the Lacedmmonian sovereignty of the Aegean.

The Corinthian War lastel between 8 and 9 yearsB.C. $395-387$. It had been set going by Persian eneouragement ('Tithraustes' subsidies to Argos, Corinth and Theles); it was brought to an end at Persian dictation.

The epoch beginuing with Aegospotami (405) and ending with the Peace of Antaleidas (387) is one of the worst ehapters in all the history of Greece. It bequeathed a fourfold "damnosa hereditas" to subsequent times-viz:

1. The systematic use of mereenaries.
2. Pitiless plundering-evil examples had been set in the eruel devastation of Elis, Argolis, Aearnania, and the territory of Corinth, by the Lacedemonians.
3. Political contentions were embittered-they had been bitter enough before, as witness the scenes in Coreyra in B.C. 427.
4. Blunted sense of national honour-the Greeks seemed to feel no shane in ealling in the Persian King to arbitrate not so much between as over them,
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The disgrace of the Peace of Antalcidas falls, of course, mainly upon Sparta, which henceforth was in the position of the Persian King's agent for the management and repression of Greece. That such a convention could be made show's not only the corruption - of Sparta at the time, but the deferts of the Lycurgean systen-perhaps also of the Spartan nature. The Peace of Antalcidas was cunning work-but the cunning was that of fools, short-sighted and futile.



[^0]:    * These dates are conjectural, hut they can hardly be wrong, if they are wrong, by more than one or two yenrs.

[^1]:    *Settlers (cleruchi) had been placed in Seyrow by Cimon, B.C. 469, after the expulsion by the Dolopian pirates. According to Duncker, there were $1 \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{0} 0 \mathrm{~m}$ Athenianssf corlabroad in the cleruchies at the time of the Thirty Years' Truce (B.C. 445). These settlers remained members of the tribes and townships to which they belonged at home in Attica. Cleruchies in fact were "coloniar civimm Atheniensium"--compare the Roman term "colonia" civium Romanormm." Holm thinks that the Roman colonies were actually modelled on the Athenian cleruchies.

[^2]:    *The impoverishel Spartiate would be liable to loss of (in Roman parlance) " jus honorum," if not of " jus suffragii."

[^3]:    * Artaxerxes may have been prevented by some disturbance (invasion or rebellion) in the Far East of his domiuions-or he may simply have been too indolent to interpose between his eontentious viceroys.
    $\dagger$ Walls had been built across the neck of the peninsula by Miltiades in the 6th century B.C., and Pericles in the 5th.

[^4]:    * Agesilaus' reusnon for embarking at Aulis was that he regarded himself as the successor of Agamemnon. There was a tradition connecting Agamemion with Anyelae, a place near Sparta, and Zeus was worshipped in Laconia under the name of Zeus Agamemnon.

[^5]:    - Peltasta-so called from the light Thracian shield of leather (pelta) which was their chief piece of defensive armour. But thougit their defensive armour was lighter than that of the ordinary io. ${ }^{*}$-soldier, their

[^6]:    - The Athemina of an carlier age, when the Aeginetans were a
     the liraus." In the $12 t h$ century of the Chriatian Era it way again haunted by piratew - some samacena, others denoeve-who harried the const of Attica.
    +Chamentat, lake Tyre, was buit partly upon un island, lying elose inshore on the south side of the liulf of Sinyma, partly upon the mainland. The istand was united with the mainland nuder Alexander the the Great, by meuns of a great mole or causeway.

